

QUEENSLAND RACING COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

Commissions of Inquiry Act 1950

SUPPLEMENTARY SUBMISSIONS

The following corrections/additions are made to the submissions lodged on behalf of our clients last week.

In Part 1, paragraph 39: We raise to the Commission's attention that a further disk was received from the solicitors for the control body on 1 November, after all submissions were due. It is still not known whether all relevant documents have been provided.

In Part 1, paragraph 40, a correction can be made to footnote 53. The most recent statements were uploaded to the data room on today (4 November 2013).

In Part 2, paragraph 115, should be corrected to read:

"It is accepted that, because the development and implementation of the infrastructure plan was a matter that was very important to him, and because of the need to liaise with government and to keep confidentiality until the plan was completed, Mr Bentley was involved in procurement activities pertaining to infrastructure. There is no evidence that he was involved in procurement activities that did not relate to infrastructure policy".

Part 2, paragraph 183, a typographical error needs to be corrected: the word "is" in the second line is superfluous.

In Part 5, footnote 2, and in Part 2, footnote 6, to correct the obvious typographical errors that appear, the footnotes should each read:

'reflected in the document published on the Commission's web-site "Draft break-down of issues for Inquiry", which has not been updated since 15 July 2013'.

In Part 6, at paragraph 199, in the third last line "Tatts" should be replaced with "Product Co. or the control bodies in Queensland" so that the sentence reads:

Supplementary Submissions

RODGERS BARNES & GREEN

Lawyers
Level 10, 300 Adelaide Street
Brisbane QLD 4000
Tel: + (61 7) 3009 9300
Fax: + (61 7) 3009 9399
Email: admin@rbglawyers.com.au
Ref: GWR:AKM:130250

“If anything, Mr Bentley favoured the interests of Product Co. or the control bodies in Queensland by promoting race fields legislation in Queensland, and initiating the discussions that led to an understanding being reached that Product Co. could retain monies received under the Queensland race fields legislation.”

In Part 6, at paragraph 202, add to the end of the first sentence “race fields legislation” so that it reads:

“Nor can it be found that Mr Grace discussed the role of Product Co. in relation to the implementation of the Queensland race fields legislation.”

In Part 6, we also refer to and rely upon the Explanatory Memorandum to the 2008 amendments to the *Racing Act* (particularly at page 7), referred to in the submissions lodged on behalf of Ms Harris.

In Part 6, at paragraphs 203 and 207, the correct title of the legislation therein referred to is the “*Public Sector Ethics Act*”.